

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/052,077	01/17/2002		Charles Rogers	11738.00052	2977
22908	7590	07/25/2003			
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.				EXAMINER	
TEN SOUTH SUITE 3000	I WACKE	RDRIVE	SIRMONS, KEVIN C		
CHICAGO, IL 60606 .				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
٠				3763	
				DATE MAILED: 07/25/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Λ.	K
	Application N .	Applicant(s)	_
	10/052,077	ROGERS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Kevin C. Sirmons	3763	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period f r Reply	opears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleted in the provision of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted the period for reply will, by statuted the period for reply will, by statuted the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). - Status	136(a). In no event, however, may ply within the statutory minimum of to divill apply and will expire SIX (6) M te, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17	January 2002 .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disp sition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) 1-13 are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)☐ approved b)☐	disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•		
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.	·	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority document 	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in	Application No	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis 	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).	
a) The translation of the foreign language process. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes			
Attachment(s)	•		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Trademark Office			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I Fig. 1

Species II Fig. 2

Species III Fig. 4.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-13 appear to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case

Art Unit: 3763

In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Scott Burrow on 7/22/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin C. Sirmons whose telephone number is 703-306-5410.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30-4:00 ALT FRI.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-0000.

Kevin C. Sirmons Patent Examiner July 22, 2003